

All Hazard All Discipline Minutes

August 15, 2019 11:30 am Gallatin County Coordination Center

Call In: (406) 247-0860,99940440#

Join Skype Meeting

Agenda

Doorses	- Datrick Language Coatt Droblew Debayeb Master Day Livelyeth
Present	Patrick Lonergan, Scott Dreblow, Deborah McAtee, Ron Lindroth, Dirgon Knoff, Korri Strashoim, Haylay Tuggla, Whitney Bormas, Jim
	Birgen Knoff, Kerri Strasheim, Hayley Tuggle, Whitney Bermes, Jim
	Veltkamp, Betty Kalakay, LeRoy Wilson, Mike Maltaverne, Bret Birrer,
	Bryan Connelley
Minutes Approval	• June 20, 2019
Presentations	•
Action Items	 The group worked through Part one of the 2019 Threat and Hazard
	Identification and Risk Assessment and State Preparedness Report
	worksheet from MT DES. Worksheet is attached.
	The group conducted a Training and Exercise Plan review for 2019 &
	2020. Decided to implement a HazMat Exercise in conjunction with
	Montana Rail Link at the end of October 2019 that will be Full Scale
	and include Bozeman Health. The group also decided to aim for an
	Active Killer exercise summer of 2020 at MSU.
Updates	Patrick reported that the Hazard Mitigation & Community Wildfire
o padi. SS	Protection Plan has been submitted to MT DES for initial review. He
	also reported about adding a Critical Infrastructure WUI map that
	identifies values at risk, but don't meet our WUI definition, that will
	be added to the final plan before adoption. This is to help address
	differing legal needs and clearly identify values at risk and are
	important, but don't meet the definition of WUI.
	The Community Notification System was upgraded to a higher tier
	package on July 1 in conjunction with MSU. This added some
	features that make the notification initiation workflow better. It also
	added the ability for subscribers to opt in for weather alerts. Most of
	those seem to work fine, but the hail alert seems to have issues. EM
	is working with the vendor to define how those are generated and
	confirm the integrity of them. Gallatin County is in the process of
	building out their internal employee alerting with CNS. The City of
	Bozeman has also inquired about doing the same.
	Patrick updated the group on the MCI project. The bus is secured,
	the MCI retrofit kit is ordered and painting is secured at Montana
	State Prison. The triage material has been ordered from DMS. MCI
	Cache Trailers have been ordered. EM has a proposed RTF ballistic

Next meeting	 also scheduled, listed below. Hayley mentioned that MSU move in begins next Wednesday and there are many large group gatherings throughout the week. Kerri asked if anyone had more details about the microburst in the Tobacco Roots. No one had anything. Bozeman Health mentioned the delays being created in the Gallatin Canyon with the guardrail project. Causing a lot of issues moving people between Bozeman and Big Sky. There is also a traffic light going in at Ellis and Highland. October 17, 2019
	Hayley mentioned that MSU move in begins next Wednesday and

Upcoming Activities

Upcoming Training (https://www.readygallatin.com/training-opportunities)

- ICS 300, Intermediate ICS. 10/14-15/19, Billings
- AWR136, Community Cybersecurity. 10/16/19, Bozeman
- ICS400, Advanced ICS. 11/11-12/19, Billings
- ICS300, Intermediate ICS. 4/28-30/20, Belgrade.
- ICS400, Advanced ICS. Fall 2020, Bozeman.

Upcoming Exercises

• Community Exercise, TBD 2020, Bozeman

THREAT & GAP ASSESSMENTS 2019

Contact and Jurisdiction Information

Department:

Name:

Jurisdiction Name:

Mı Co	diction Type (select one) unicipality unty be	Other:					
Phon	e:	Em	Email:				
	THIRA Step 1: Identify Threats and Hazards						
Develop a list of threats and hazards that could realistically impact your jurisdiction. List at least <u>three</u> hazards- one for each category. Each threat or hazard should highly challenge your jurisdiction. For each threat/hazard, develop a realistic scenario. Threat/Hazard 1: Natural							
		Natural Threat	/Ha	zard (Select One)			
	Earthquake			Severe Storms / High Winds			
	Flood			Wildfire			
	Other:						
	Provide context for the			Hazard Scenario Description e, location, time of event and other specific details			

Threat/Hazard 2: Technological

Technological Threat/Hazard (Select One)							
	Dam Failure	Pipeline Explosion					
Hazmat Release- Chemical Other:							
Technological Threat/Hazard Scenario Description							
	Provide context for the scenario, including mag	nitude	e, location, time of event and other specific details				

Threat/Hazard 3: Human Caused

Human Caused Threat/Hazard (Select One)							
Active Shooter		Cyber Attack					
Civil Disturbance	Other:						
Human Caused Threat/Hazard Scenario Description							
Provide context for the scenario, including mag	gnitude	e, location, time of event and other specific details					

Core Capability Ranking: For each core capability, mark the priority your jurisdiction places on building or sustaining the capability in the upcoming year.

Core Capability	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
Planning			
Public Information and Warning			
Operational Coordination			
Intelligence and Information Sharing			
Interdiction and Disruption			
Screening, Search and Detection			
Forensics and Attribution			
Access Control and Identity Verification			
Cybersecurity			
Physical Protective Measures			
Risk Management for Protection Programs and Activities			
Supply Chain Integrity and Security			
Community Resilience			
Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment			
Threats and Hazards Identification			
Infrastructure Systems			
Critical Transportation			
Environmental Response/Health and Safety			
Fatality Management Services			
Fire Management and Suppression			
Logistics and Supply Chain Management			
Mass Care Services			
Mass Search and Rescue Operations			
On-Scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement			
Operational Communications			
Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services			
Situational Assessment			
Economic Recovery			
Health and Social Services			
Housing			
Natural and Cultural Resources			

ĺ	٩	ľ
ĺ	-	١
i		i

Core Capability	y: Public Info	rmation and	d Wa	rning					
Which of your ident		hazards <u>most</u>							
challenges this capability? Impacts: Considering the scenario identified above, what would be the estimated impacts:									
# People affected									
	s and functional r								
# People with acces									
# People with limite	ed English proficie	ncy affected							
Tayasta, Canaidayin		fill in the fellowin	مرماما مم	مانم خمانم	-tbt .	المعيديين	d like to pobieve		
Targets: Considerin	. ,					-			
Targets (goals):	Within n	(#) people affect							
	and functional n								
	arra rarrectoriar in		\'''	, people w		* E11811311	promerency.		
How would you rate	your jurisdiction	's current capab	ility to	reach this	target?				
Very Low (0-20%)	Low (20-40%)	Medium (40-	-60%)	High (6	0-80%)	Fully Ca	pable (80-100%)		
Indicate how your juyear (check box).	urisdiction has los	t, sustained, or k	ouilt yo	our capabili	ty to reac	h this tar	get in the past		
	Planning	Organization	Equ	uipment	Training		Exercise		
Lost									
Sustained									
Built									
Describe any gaps for	or this capability.	What are your p	lans to	address th	nem?				

Additional Gaps: Identify other significant gaps for this core capability								
Functional Area	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises			
Developing standard operating procedures for public information								
New communications tools and technologies								
Protecting sensitive information								
Public awareness campaigns								
Traditional and mobilizing resources								

Core Capabilit	y: Operation	al Coordin	ation		Core Capability: Operational Coordination								
Which of your iden challenges this cap		nazards <u>most</u>											
Impacts: Consideri		entified above	what w	ould be the	estimate	d imp	acts:						
# Jurisdictions affect			2, 1111.00 11			чр							
# Partner organizat	ions involved												
								_					
Targets: Considering													
Targets (goals):		ite(s) of a po											
	& coordinated o	_		· ·									
	affected and with (#) partner organizations involved in incident management												
	How would you rate your jurisdiction's current capability to reach this target?												
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	<u> </u>	•			- II	0 11 4	00.4000()					
Very Low (0-20%)	Low (20-40%)	Medium (40-60%)	High (60-	80%)	Fully	Capable (80-100%)					
Indicate how your j year (check box).	urisdiction has los	t, sustained, c	or built yo	our capability	to reach	n this	target in tl	ne past					
	Planning	Organizatio	n Equ	uipment	Train	ning	Ex	ercise					
Lost													
Sustained													
Built													
Describe any gaps f	or this capability. \	What are you	r plans to	address the	m?								
Additional Gaps: Id	lentify other signifi	icant gaps for	this core	capability									
Functional Area		Pla	nning (Organization	Equipm	nent	Training	Exercises					

Additional Gaps: Identify other significant gaps for this core capability								
Functional Area	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises			
Allocating and mobilizing resources								
Determining priorities, objectives, strategies								
Emergency operations center management								
Ensuring continuity of government and essential services								
Ensuring information flow								
Ensuring unity of effort								
Establishing a common operating picture								
Establishing lines of communication								
Establishing roles and responsibilities								

Core Capability: Operational Communications									
Which of your iden		hazards <u>n</u>	nost						
Impacts: Consider	ing the scenario ic	lentified a	bove, what	w	ould be the	estimate	d imp	oacts:	
# Jurisdictions affe	cted								
# Partner organizat	tions involved in ir	ncident ma	anagement						
Targets: Consideri to achieve.	ng this capability,	fill in the f	following b	lanl	ks to indicat	e what y	ou w	ould like to	be able
Targets	Within hou	ır(s) of an	incident, e	stal	olish interop	erable o	omm	unications	across
	(#) juris	sdictions a	ffected and	w b	ith	_ (#) par	tner	organizatio	ns
	involved in incid	ent mana	gement. M	aint	ain for 2 we	eks.			
How would you rat	o vour iurisdistion	o's surrent	t canability	+0.1	soach this ta	rgo+2			
Very Low (0-20%)	Low (20-40%)	your jurisdiction's current capability to reach this target? Low (20-40%) Medium (40-60%) High (60-80%) Fully Capable (80-100%)						RO-100%)	
VCI y LOW (0 20/0)	LOW (20 4070)	IVICU	idiii (4 0 00	/0 <i>j</i>	Trigit (00 8	070)	Tuny	Capabic (30 10070)
Indicate how your year (check box)	l jurisdiction has lo	st, sustain	ed, or built	you	l ur capability	to reac	h this	target in t	ne past
	Planning	Organiz	ation E	qui	ipment	Traiı	ning	Ex	ercise
Lost									
Sustained									
Built									
Describe any gaps for this capability. What are your plans to address them?									
Additional Gaps: lo	dentify other signi	ficant gap	s for this co	ore (capability (c	heck bo	x)		
Functional Area			Planning	01	rganization	Equipr	nent	Training	Exercises
Communication bety affected population	ween responders an	id the							
Data communication	ıs								
Re-establishing com	ructure								

Re-establishing critical information networks

Voice communications

Core Capability: Planning	
Which of your identified threats and hazards most challenges this capability?	
Describe any gaps for this capability. What are your p	plans to address them?

Additional Gaps: Identify other significant gaps for this core capability						
Functional Area	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	
Continuity planning						
Evaluating and updating plans						
Including individuals with access or functional						
needs						
Incorporating risk analyses						
Integrating different plans						
Operational planning						
Pre-incident planning						
Strategic planning						
Whole community involvement and coordination						

Additional Notes and Comments (Optional):

Send your completed Phase I form to MTDESPLANS@MT.GOV by Sept. 6, 2019.